

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/766,603	01/27/2004	Robert Gregory Wagoner	03GP9129	3390	
7590 10/10/2006			EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			CAVALLARI, DANIEL J		
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. One Landmark Square, 10th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT			2836		
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		5//				
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/766,603	WAGONER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
		Daniel J. Cavallari	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	anuary 2004.						
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	l.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.					
Attachmen	ut(e)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	lail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mal Patent Application					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-,						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

#### In regard to Claim 1

 The use of the term "IGBT" should be spelled out in its entirely upon its first recitation in the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### In regard to Claim 9

Claim 9 recites "The method of claim 1, wherein said power semiconductor..."
 however a "power semiconductor" is not disclosed in claim 1. The examiner
 notes that a power semiconductor is disclosed in Claim 8 therefore, the claim will be interrupted as best understood to be dependent on claim 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-13, & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kojima (JP 06189469 A).

Page 3

#### In regard to Claim 11

- A first contactor (2A) having an input selectively coupled to a first power converter (3A,4A) (See Figure 4).
- A second contactor (2B) having an input selectively coupled to a second power converter (3B, 4B) (See Figure 4).
- A controlled current path (8A-C) coupled to the output of the first and second contactor and to a load (10) for providing uninterrupted power to the load during a transfer between first and second power converters (See Abstract).

#### In regard to Claim 12

The first and second contactors comprising a pair of contactors, read on by 7A &
 7B (See Figure 4).

#### In regard to Claim 13

• The first and second contactors (2A, 7A & 2B, 7B) being separate contactors.

#### In regard to Claim 16

 A battery (5C) coupled to an input of the first converter (13A) and said second converter (13B) using diodes (14A, 14B) (See Figure 1). Art Unit: 2836

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 & 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima and Glennon (US 6,037, 752).

In regard to Claim 1, 3, 8, 9, & 10

Kojima teaches selectively coupling a first or second converter (9A, 9B) (See Figure 1 and Abstract) to a load (10) and disconnecting one of said converters (ie. opening switches 2A & 12A) (See Figure 1 & Abstract). Kojima fails to explicitly teach the type of converters used.

Glennon teaches a power supply system with a IGBT converter comprising a rectifier (70) and inverter (74) which utilizes a power semiconductor switch (Q3) in series with a diode (CR10) (See Figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the IGBT converter taught by Glennon into the power supply system of Kojima. The motivation would have been to provide a switching system which is well known in the art and take advantage of the small size and light weight of IGBT components.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

Kojima further teaches:

In regard to Claim 2

 A first contactor (2A) having an input selectively coupled to a first power converter (3A,4A) (See Figure 4).

 A second contactor (2B) having an input selectively coupled to a second power converter (3B, 4B) (See Figure 4).

In regard to Claim 4

The first and second contactors comprising a pair of contactors, read on by 7A &
 7B (See Figure 4).

In regard to Claim 7

 A battery (5C) coupled to an input of the first converter (13A) and said second converter (13B) using diodes (14A, 14B) (See Figure 1).

Claims 5 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima, Glennon, and Heebner (US 5,353,665).

Kojima teaches the use of a contactor (2A, 2B) (See Figure 4 & Abstract) but fails to explicitly teach the type of contactor used.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,603

Art Unit: 2836

Heebner teaches the use of a multi-pole break before make type relay for use of power delivery and further explains that "Most relays are multi-pole break before make type..." (See Column 5, Lines 15-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the multi pole make break before make relay in place of the contactors taught by Kojima who fails to explicitly teach the type of contactors used. The motivation would have been to use a switch that is well known in the art and readily available.

Claims 14 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima and Heebner.

Kojima teaches the use of a contactor (2A, 2B) (See Figure 4 & Abstract) but fails to explicitly teach the type of contactor used.

Heebner teaches the use of a multi-pole break before make type relay for use of power delivery and further explains that "Most relays are multi-pole break before make type..." (See Column 5, Lines 15-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the multi pole make break before make relay in place of the contactors taught by Kojima who fails to explicitly teach the type of contactors used. The motivation would have been to use a switch that is well known in the art and readily available.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,603

Art Unit: 2836

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Cavallari whose telephone number is (571)272-8541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Cavallari

September 26, 2006

Brian Sircus Supervisory Pateut Lexammer Teging of the Company

Page 7

indicated officer orange